Post-Workshop Survey
Results Overview

zoomerang

Date: 8/7/2012 11:01 AM PST
Responses: Completes | Partials
Filter: No filter applied

1. Overall, how would you rate the workshop?

5 [very useful]		13	27%
4		29	59%
3		4	8%
2		2	4%
1 [not useful]		1	2%
	Total	49	100%

2. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statement: "I have some new ideas about how to approach parking issues in my community."

5 [strongly agree]		15	31%
4		20	41%
3		11	22%
2		1	2%
1 [strongly disagree]		2	4%
	Total	49	100%

3. Please indicate which of the presentations you found to be most useful and engaging: [Rank with the most useful/engaging at the top, by clicking on the arrow to move the item to the list on the right; use up and down arrows to reorder items.]

Top number is the count of respondents selecting the option. Bottom % is percent of the total respondents selecting the option.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Parking overview module (Jeff Tumlin)	23 52%	6 14%	3 7%	4 9%	4 9%	3 7%	1 2%
Module on minimum parking standards (Jeff Tumlin)	4 10%	18 44%	9 22%	5 12%	4 10%	1 2%	0 0%
Module on parking structures (Jeff Tumlin)	2 5%	3 7%	12 28%	10 23%	11 26%	3 7%	2 5%

Module on parking management (Jeff Tumlin)	5 12%	7 17%	8 19%	14 33%	4 10%	3 7%	1 2%
Parking implementation from a city planner's perspective (Berkeley) (Matt Taecker)	3 9%	4 12%	5 15%	0 0%	7 21%	11 32%	4 12%
Parking issues from the developer's perspective (Mott Smith in San Jose & Oakland) [leave unranked if N/A]	7 22%	5 16%	4 12%	5 16%	4 12%	5 16%	2 6%
Analysis of parking utilization at local developments (Robert Swierk & Justin Meek in Walnut Creek) [leave unranked if N/A]	3 11%	3 11%	3 11%	5 19%	3 11%	6 22%	4 15%

4. For City Council members, Planning Commissioners, and planning/public works staff (choose N/A if this question does not apply to you): Is your city currently engaged in reforming city parking policies?

Yes		21	43%
No		10	20%
Not Sure		4	8%
N/A		14	29%
	Total	49	100%

5. How likely are you to support or encourage your city to explore parking reform?

5 [very likely]		20	41%
4		13	27%
3		5	10%
2		2	4%
1 [not likely]		1	2%
N/A		8	16%
	Total	49	100%

6. How likely would you be to support or encourage your city to consider reducing or eliminating parking minimums for some areas or some uses?

5 [very likely]		14	29%
4		11	23%
3		9	19%
2		4	8%
1 [not likely]		4	8%
N/A		6	12%
	Total	48	100%

7. How likely would you be to support or encourage your city to conduct multi-modal analysis of parking structure proposals?

5 [very likely]		13	27%
4		13	27%
3		6	12%
2		2	4%
1 [not likely]		3	6%
N/A		12	24%
	Total	49	100%

8. If your city is currently engaged in reforming city parking / TDM policies, what types of policies are being considered or pursued? Please check all that apply.

Reduced or eliminated minimums for some areas/uses		19	51%
Multi-modal analysis of structure proposal		8	22%
Shared parking		26	70%
On- and off-street pricing coordination		13	35%
Unbundling of parking from housing expense		13	35%
Transit subsidies for residents/employees		16	43%
Additional bicycle amenity requirements		24	65%
Employee programs (TDM, parking cash-out, commuter benefit ordinance)		17	46%

"Smart" parking meters & pricing policies to achieve availability		12	32%
Parking in-lieu fees for multi-modal improvements		10	27%
Carshare programs		17	46%
Other, please specify		3	8%

9. For other workshop participants (e.g., CMA staff, transit agency staff, developers, advocates, private citizens):Is your agency or interest group currently engaged in reforming parking policies?

Yes		11	28%
No		2	5%
Not sure		2	5%
N/A		25	62%
	Total	40	100%

10. How likely would you be to pursue or support reducing or eliminating parking minimums for some areas or some uses?

5 [very likely]		14	37%
4		10	26%
3		8	21%
2		2	5%
1 [not likely]		4	11%
	Total	38	100%

11. How likely would you be to pursue or support multi-modal analysis of parking structure proposals?

5 [very likely]		12	32%
4		11	29%
3		10	26%
2		1	3%
1 [not likely]		4	11%
	Total	38	100%

13. What could MTC do to best support local reform of parking policies - provide additional tools, case studies, workshops, other resources? Please rank the following in terms of their value to you. [Rank with the top being most desirable, by clicking on the arrow to move the item to the list on the right; use up and down arrows to reorder items.]

Top number is the count of respondents selecting the option. Bottom % is percent of the total respondents selecting the option.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Detailed analytical framework for multi-modal analysis & "right-sizing" parking structures considering local context and costs.	5 15%	5 15%	4 12%	2 6%	8 24%	3 9%	3 9%	3 9%
Instructions on how to conduct a parking utilization and analysis study, including GIS techniques and policy development.	8 24%	8 24%	7 21%	3 9%	1 3%	3 9%	2 6%	1 3%
Additional case studies, e.g., station area plans with low parking requirements, on- and off-street pricing coordination, carshare programs.	3 9%	5 15%	3 9%	7 21%	5 15%	4 12%	4 12%	3 9%
Workshop showcasing developers and financiers building TOD with lower parking ratios.	6 17%	2 6%	7 19%	4 11%	3 8%	4 11%	7 19%	3 8%
Workshop showcasing the latest parking technology advances and financing options.	2 6%	4 11%	4 11%	2 6%	8 22%	9 25%	4 11%	3 8%
Workshop showcasing employers with successful TDM, cash-out or commuter benefit programs.	1 3%	2 6%	8 22%	4 11%	1 3%	6 17%	4 11%	10 28%
Planning funds for detailed parking studies, policy development and	12 30%	10 25%	5 12%	7 18%	0 0%	2 5%	4 10%	0 0%

implementation plans, use and estimated cost.								
Capital funds for acquisition of parking equipment or TDM strategies (e.g., bicycle facilities in lieu of some parking) to manage parking demand.	5 13%	6 15%	2 5%	9 23%	8 21%	1 3%	2 5%	6 15%

Thank you for your participation in this survey and for your attendance at the workshops.